UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,753	09/30/2005	Nick J. Manesis	D-3132	4339
Frank J Uxa	7590 09/08/200	EXAMINER		
Stout Uxa Buya	nn & Mullins	CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
Suite 300 4 Venture			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 9261	18	3754		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,753	MANESIS, NICK J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	•	duaina ar aimhlifuina ti	aa laawaa far				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	aucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): to claim 117 under 35 U.S.C 112.							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			- F				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>106-124</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	stice of Annael will not	· ha antarad				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consident because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance				
See Final Rejection mailed June 3, 2009.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Kevin P. Shaver/	*** * * * * * *						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754	/M. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754						